

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Arto Got Minimum MERCE FURTERINGARE TRADEMANCE Was a later 100 and 100

APPLICATION NO	HENG DAIL	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 747,314	12 22 2000	Jean-Louis Massieu	480062.730	1282
25096 75	90 01 16 2003			
PERKINS COIE LLP			EXAMINER	
PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247			FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
	2876			
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,		09/747,314	MASSIEU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit \			
		Jamara A. Franklin	2876			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •		AONTH(S) FROM			
THE - Externation - If the - If the - Failure - Arry - earn	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streptly received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IN. R 1 136(a) In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ratute, cause the application to become A	i reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C § 133).			
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
22)	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.				
2a)∐ 3)□	Since this application is in condition for al		atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
, <u> </u>	closed in accordance with the practice un ion of Claims	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
-	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1,14,27 and 31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-13,15-26,28-30,32 and 33</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers					
, —	The specification is objected to by the Exar					
10) $\boxed{\cdot}$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/22/00</u> is/are: a) $\boxed{\cdot}$ accepted or b) $\boxed{\times}$ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)		reign priority under 35 U.S.C	2. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
а)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
!	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation. See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) a list of the certified copies n	ot received.			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
15)	 a)	e provisional application has mestic priority under 35 U.S.	s been received. .C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachme						

1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 and 6.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/747,314

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 27 and 31 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 27, line 6, substitute "they" with --the pixels--,

in claim 31, line 7, substitute "these" with --the--, and

in claim 31, lines 9 and 12, substitute each occurrence of "this" with --the--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 14, 27, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Joseph et al. (US 5,710,417) (hereinafter referred to as 'Joseph').

Joseph teaches a reader 10 having a programmable resolution for reading one or two-

Application/Control Number: 09.747,314

Art Unit: 2876

dimensional bar codes. The reader 10 comprises and window 30, CCD array 50, and a programmable microprocessor 52. The microprocessor 52 may be used to achieve a programmable pixel size. If a scanning mirror is sped up, then several lines can be image onto the CCD within one integration period. The overall effect with respect to the CCD is to make the pixels appear as if they are very tall and can see several line simultaneously (col. 7, line 61-col. 8, line 4).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-13, 15-26, 28-30, 32, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach, or fairly suggest either alone or in combination thereof, a device wherein the pixels in at least one row each have a same height dimension in the direction perpendicular to the scanning direction, and the pixels in at least another row each have a same height dimension in the direction perpendicular to the scanning direction, the height dimension of the pixels in the one row different from the height dimension of the pixels in the other row, and wherein the scanning control circuit selectively receives electrical signals form the pixels in the one row during the first pass and from the pixels in the other row during the second successive pass.

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cherry et al. (US 5,446,271) teach an omnidirectional scanning method and apparatus.

Akel et al. (US 6,328,214) teach an opto-electronic device for acquisition of images of codes in one and two dimensions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is 703-305-0128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 730-308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jamara A. Franklin

Examiner

Art Unit 2876

JAF

January 12, 2003

Milliant St. Ker Diant I Lee Primary Examinar